### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	DOT				
To:	FUI				
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND				
Attn. Lupkowski, Mark	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL				
One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111-1608KETED: Avt. 19 Amen	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE THE IDS in 34	ment due 1/9/17				
TIL IN III	- by 2/9/0-/				
NOV 1 7 2006	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
51. FQ. Atty: ML	Date of mailing (day/month/year)				
SQUIPE SANDERS & TIEMP	09/11/2006				
Applicant's or agent's tile reterence	FOR FURTHER ACTION				
62571.00141	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US2006/025937	(day/month/year) 30/06/2006				
Applicant					
ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC.					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search     Authority have been established and are transmitted herewit	report and the written opinion of the International Searching th.				
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:	Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19				
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):  When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the					
International Search Heport.					
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 338.82.70					
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acc	1-22) 338.82.70 companying sheet.				
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Inter	report will be established and that the declaration and				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition					
the protest together with the decision thereon has been	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the international Russian transmitted transmitted transmitted to the international Russian transmitted t				
applicant's request to torward the texts of both the prote	est and the decision thereon to the designated Offices				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appli	icant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders					
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Eureau. It the applicant wishes to avoid or postpore publication, a notice of withdrawa of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Pules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Eureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the exprision of 30 months from the printry date.					
Within 15 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filled it is nepticant wiseles to pospone the emity prior the national prises until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before these designated Offices.					
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.					
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide. Volume II. National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.					

Authorized officer

Dominique Hundt

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tv. 31 651 epo pl

### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Pattern Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those recomments, the bitter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WijPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, a g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protections are validable in some States only (see PCT Applicant's Guide. Volume IA. Annexs B1 and Annexs B1 and Annexs B1 and B1.

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the international Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search raport or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit exprise state: It should be noted, however, that the amendments will so considered as placing been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the exprision of the solicitude time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Figle 46.7).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filled.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
62571.00141 International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
	1	(Camesty Filolity Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US2006/025937 Applicant	30/06/2006	30/06/2005				
Approant						
ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SY	STEMS, INC.					
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching Authornsmitted to the International Bureau.	rity and is transmitted to the applicant				
This international search report consists o	f a total of4 sheets.					
	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.				
Basis of the report						
	nternational search was carried out on the bas	is of:				
	pplication in the language in which it was filed					
a translation of the of a translation fur	international application into nished for the purposes of international search	(Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))				
b. With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed i	n the international application, see Box No. I.				
2 Certain claims were foun	d unsearchable (See Box No. II)	Annual Control of the				
3. Unity of invention is lack	Ing (see Box No III)					
4. With regard to the title,						
X the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant					
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:						
5. With regard to the abstract,						
X the text is approved as sub-	mitted by the applicant					
the text has been established	ed. according to Rule 38 2(b), by this Authority	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant				
may, within one month from	the date of mailing of this international search	report, submit comments to this Authority				
6. With regard to the drawings,						
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No7						
X as suggested by the	applicant	i				
	Authority, because the applicant failed to sugge					
	Authority, because this figure better characteriz	es the invention				
b none of the figures is to be p	published with the abstract					

### INTE, JATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

rnational application No

PCT/US2006/025937

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
VV A61L31/14 A61F2/82 INV. A61L31/14

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61L A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, EMBASE, BIOSIS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	2.1
		Relevant to claim No.
X,Y	WO 98/56312 A (SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS INC [US]) 17 December 1998 (1998-12-17) claims 1-4,14 page 2, lines 20-24 page 2, line 28 - page 3, line 3 page 3, lines 13-19 page 6, line 7 - page 7, line 5	1-3, 26-29
Υ	page 7, Tille 3	4-25, 30-32
Х,Ү	US 2003/153972 A1 (HELMUS MICHAEL [US]) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14) paragraphs [0009], [0013], [0016], [0036] - [0038], [0046], [0047], [0057], [0069], [0070]	1-3, 26-29
Y		4-25, 30-32
	-/	

Į	X	Further documents are listed in the	continuation of Box C.
•	Spe	cial categories of cited documents :	

See patent family annex.

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on pnority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed
- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention
- cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention
- cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

Date of mailing of the international search report

"&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search

26 October 2006

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Authorized officer

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Danis Antali Danta

09/11/2006

### INTL .NATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US2006/025937

	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PCT/US2006/025937
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	EP 1 362 603 A2 (TERUMO CORP [JP]) 19 November 2003 (2003-11-19) claims 1,3,7-11	4,6,10
Υ	paragraphs [0028], [0031], [0032] paragraphs [0066] - [0071]	4-25
Y	US 6 867 248 B1 (MARTIN DAVID P [US] ET AL) 15 March 2005 (2005-03-15) column 4, lines 6-26 column 9, lines 23-35 column 9, line 56 - column 10, line 15 column 14, lines 29-33	1-32
Y	US 5 624 411 A (TUCH RONALD J [US]) 29 April 1997 (1997-04-29) claims 1,3-5,19-23 column 3, lines 15,16,27-34 column 7, lines 5-15,27-61	4-25
′	US 2004/034409 A1 (HEUBLEIN BERND [DE] ET AL) 19 February 2004 (2004-02-19) claims 1-8	6,7,16, 22,32
	DE 103 57 747 A1 (MMEMOSCIENCE GMBH [DE]) 5 January 2005 (2005-01-05) claims 1-3,6	6,7,16, 22,32
	US 5 916 584 A (O'DONOGHUE MICHAEL F [AU] ET AL) 29 June 1999 (1999-06-29) column 3, lines 39-48 column 7, line 51 - column 8, line 61	30-32
	US 5 518 730 A (FUISZ RICHARD C [US]) 21 May 1996 (1996-05-21) column 2, line 64 - column 4, line 12	30-32
	US 2002/165601 A1 (CLERC CLAUDE 0 [US]) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07) claims 1-13	1-32

INTE. ATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

				101	/ 032000/ 02593/	
Patent document cited in search report	1	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
WO 9856312	Α	17-12-1998	NON	E		_
US 200315397	2 A1	14-08-2003	AU EP WO	2003215224 A1 1492580 A1 03068288 A1	04-09-2003 05-01-2005 21-08-2003	
EP 1362603	A2	19-11-2003	AT DE US	318623 T 60303705 T2 2003216806 A1	15-03-2006 19-10-2006 20-11-2003	
US 6867248	81	15-03-2005	US	2003236320 A1	25-12-2003	
US 5624411	A	29-04-1997	DE DE EP JP JP US US	69431457 D1 69431457 T2 0623354 A1 3673973 B2 8033718 A 2005199079 A 5464650 A 5837008 A 5679400 A	07-11-2002 26-06-2003 09-11-1994 20-07-2005 06-02-1996 28-07-2005 07-11-1995 17-11-1998 21-10-1997	
US 2004034409	A1	19-02-2004	AT DE EP JP	336272 T 10237572 A1 1389471 A1 2004097804 A	15-09-2006 26-02-2004 18-02-2004 02-04-2004	
DE 10357747	A1	05-01-2005	CN	1805763 A	19-07-2006	
US 5916584	А	29-06-1999	WO CA EP NZ ZA	9612466 A1 2202510 A1 0788340 A1 294546 A 9509041 A	02-05-1996 02-05-1996 13-08-1997 29-04-1999 17-07-1996	
US 5518730	A	21-05-1996	AU CA DE DE EP JP WO	665844 B2 4405893 A 2137268 A1 69332210 D1 69332210 T2 0746342 A1 7507548 T 9324154 A1	18-01-1996 30-12-1993 09-12-1993 19-09-2002 24-04-2003 11-12-1996 24-08-1995 09-12-1993	
US 2002165601	A1	07-11-2002	WO	02089707 A1	14-11-2002	

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

1	To:				PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI' (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	plicant's or agent's fil e form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
PC	ernational application CT/US2006/02593	37	International filing date (c 30.06.2006		Priority date (day/month/year) 30.06.2005
IN	V. A61L31/14 A6	ssification (IPC) or t 1F2/82	ooth national classification a	and IPC	
	olicant VANCED CARD	IOVASCULAR	SYSTEMS, INC.		
1.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. IV Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(i) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(i) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(ii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iiii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iiii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iiiiiiii) with record to example in under Rule 43/ris 1(4)(iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii				andly investigation
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Box No. VI  Box No. VI  Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII  Certain absolute the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  FURTHER ACTION					
	the applicant cho	oses an Authority au under Rule 6	other than this one to b	Authority ("IPEA") exc	sually be considered to be a ept that this does not apply where losen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority
	If this opinion is, a submit to the IPE from the date of r whichever expires	nailing of Form P	e, considered to be a writegether, where appropri CT/ISA/220 or before the	itten opinion of the IP iate, with amendment expiration of 22 mon	EA, the applicant is invited to s, before the expiration of 3 months ths from the priority date,
	For further option	s, see Form PCT.	/ISA/220.		
3.	For further details	, see notes to Fo	rm PCT/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Date of completion of this opinion see form PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer

Peris Antoli, Berta



International application No. PCT/US2006/025937

	Е	3ox	No. I Basis of the opinion				
-							
	<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li> </ol>						
	Ø	1 t	ne international application in the language in which it was filed				
		a p	translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the urposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).				
2	. V	ith i	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a.	typ	e of material:				
			a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b.	forn	nat of material:				
			on paper				
			in electronic form				
	c.	time	of filing/furnishing:				
			contained in the international application as filed.				
		0	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		CO	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing andor table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional icles is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/US2006/025937

_	Roy No. III. New catalytish				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of					
	the entire international application				
$\boxtimes$	l claims Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6-14,16-20, 22-26, 28-30, 32-32 (in part); 10, 15 (industrial applicability)				
be	ecause:				
×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10, 15 (industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6-14,16-20, 22-26, 28-30, 32-32 (in part)				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
	turnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	☐ turnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	<ul> <li>pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).</li> </ul>				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-blo of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
]	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See Supplemental Box for further details				

International application No. PCT/US2006/025937

Box No. I	V Lack of unity of	invent	ion		
***************************************	The second secon				
<ol> <li>In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, applicable time limit:</li> </ol>					
	paid additional fees	;			
	paid additional fees	under	protest and	, where applicable, the protest fee	
	paid additional fees	under	protest but I	he applicable protest fee was not paid	
	not paid additional f			,,,,	
2. ⊠ This A the ap	uthority found that the plicant to pay addition	e requir	rement of ur	nity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite	
3. This Author	rity considers that the	require	ement of un	ity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 i	
	d with				
□ not com	plied with for the follo	wina re	easons:		
		-		espect of the following parts of the international application:	
☑ all parts.				parts of the international application:	
☐ the parts	relating to claims No				
pante	reading to olding rec	,,			
Box No. V	Possessed statem		d D 1		
	pplicability; citation	ent und	er Hule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement	
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	7, 9-25, 30-32 1-6, 8, 26-29	
Inventive ste	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
		No:	Claims	1-32	
Industrial app	plicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9, 11-14, 26-32	
2. Citations and	l explanations				

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/US2006/025937

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 98/56312 A (SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS INC [US]) 17 December 1998 (1998-12-17)
- D2: US 2003/153972 A1 (HELMUS MICHAEL [US]) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14)
- D3: EP-A2-1 362 603 (TERUMO CORP [JP]) 19 November 2003 (2003-11-19)
- D4: US-B1-6 867 248 (MARTIN DAVID P [US] ET AL) 15 March 2005 (2005-03-15)
- D5: US-A-5 624 411 (TUCH RONALD J [US]) 29 April 1997 (1997-04-29)
- D6: US 2004/034409 A1 (HEUBLEIN BERND [DE] ET AL) 19 February 2004 (2004-02-19)
- D7: DE 103 57 747 A1 (MNEMOSCIENCE GMBH [DE]) 5 January 2005 (2005-01-05)
- D8: US-A-5 916 584 (O'DONOGHUE MICHAEL F [AU] ET AL) 29 June 1999 (1999-06-29)
- D9: US-A-5 518 730 (FUISZ RICHARD C [US]) 21 May 1996 (1996-05-21)

### Re Item III

## Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The present independent claims 1, 4, 14 and 20 relate to an extremely large number of possible implantable medical devices. Similarly, independent claims 26 and 30 relate to methods for coating an extremely large number of possible substrates. Support and disclosure in the sense of Article 6 and 5 PCT is to be found, if any, only for stents [see e.g. dependent claims 2, 5, 15, 21, 27 and 31]. The non-compliance with the substantive provisions is to such an extent, that the search was performed taking into consideration the non-compliance in determining the extent of the search of independent claims 1, 4, 14, 20, 26 and 30 (PCT Guidelines 9.19 and 9.23). The search of independent claims 1, 4, 14, 20, 26 and 30 was restricted to those medical devices/substrates specified in the dependent claims 2, 5, 15, 21, 27 and 31.

- According to Rule 66.1(e) PCT, no international preliminary examination will be carried out in respect of the subject matter which is not covered by the search report.
- 2.1 Thus, for the purpose of this report, independent claims 1, 4, 14, 20, 26 and 30 have been read as if restricted to
  - (i) stents (claims 1, 4 and 14).
  - (ii) methods for fabricating a stent (claim 20) and
  - (iii) methods for coating a stent substrate with a bioabsorbable coating region (claims 26 and 30).

The dependent claims 3, 6-13, 16-19, 22-25, 28-29 and 32 have been read accordingly.

- Present independent claims 26 and 30 do not meet the requirements of Art. 5 and 6 PCT for the reasons indicated below (see point 10).
- 3.1 Thus, for the purpose of this report, independent claims 26 and 30 have been further read as if the "bioabsorbable coating" were a "bioabsorbable polymer coating".

The dependent claims 27-29 and 31-32 have been read accordingly.

4. Claims 10 and 25, as far as they relate to the "formation of pores" in vivo, can be regarded as relating to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

#### Re Item IV

### Lack of unity of invention

- The international preliminary examining authority is of the opinion that the present application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention as set forth in Rule 13.1 PCT, for the following reasons:
- 5.1 The problem posed in the present application (see p.4, I.13-20) was to provide means for controlling the erosion of biodegradable stents to maintain structural stability.

- 5.2 As proposed in the claims, said problem can be solved by several means, namely
  - with a device (stent) having a bioabsorbable polymeric substrate coated with a bioabsorbable polymeric coating having a lower average erosion rate than the substrate [claims 1-3];
  - (2) with a device (stent) having a bioabsorbable substrate coated with a bioabsorbable polymeric pore-forming coating [claims 4-13]; and a method for preparing such a device [claims 20-25 (in part)];
  - (3) with a device (stent) having a bioabsorbable substrate coated with a bioabsorbable polymeric porous coating [claims 14-19]; as well as a method for preparing such a device [claims 20-25 (in part)];
  - (4) by coating a bioabsorbable (stent) substrate with a bioabsorbable (polymeric) coating and by further controlling a thickness of the coating [claims 26-29]; and
  - (5) by coating a bioabsorbable (stent) substrate with a bioabsorbable (polymeric) coating and by further controlling a degree of crystallinity of the coating [claims 30-32].
- 5.3 The common concept linking the aforementioned solutions (1) to (5) is the use of "a bioabsorbable polymeric coating for coating a bioabsorbable stent or stent substrate".
  Said concept is not new, because stents comprising a bioabsorbable substrate coated with a bioabsorbable coating are already known from D1 to D3 (see points 7.1 to 7.3 below).
- 5.4 Thus the devices and methods specified in items (1) to (5) of point 5.2 above are considered to relate to different inventions or groups of invention which are not linked by a single inventive concept, contrary to the requirements of Rule 13.1 PCT.
- 6. Although the claimed subject matter does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention, this authority has chosen, according to rule 68.1 PCT, not to invite the applicant to restrict the claims or to pay additional fees, in particular because due to the objections raised in point 1 above, the search of claims 1-31 has been restricted to devices/methods according to claims 2, 5, 15, 21, 27 and 31.

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### Novelty

- Claims 1-6, 8 and 26-29 do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT because their subject matter is not new (see below).
- 7.1 D1 (see e.g. claims 1-5 and 14 in conjunction with p.2, l.20-24; passage bridging pp.2-3; and p.3, l.13-19) discloses biodegradable (i.e. bioabsorbable) stent comprising
  - (i) an inner core formed of a first biodegradable polymeric layer having a preselected biodegradation or lifetime, and
  - (iii) a second outer biodegradable polymeric layer (preferably composed of a surface erodible polymer), said outer layer exhibiting a longer degradation period than the first layer and providing protection of the inner layer material.

D1 also indicates that a drug may be optionally incorporated in at least the first or the second polymer layer. D1 (see p.6, l.15 to p.7, l.5) discloses the preparation of one stent of said kind, indicating that a drug can be incorporated in the outer layer.

D1 (see p.6, I.7-14) also teaches that once a material for the outer layer has been selected, the thickness of the outer layer can be varied to control the degradation time of the stent.

Thus, D1 destroys the novelty of the subject matter of present claims 1-3 and 26-29.

- 7.2 D2 (see e.g. §[0009], [0013], [0016], [0036]-[0038], [0046], [0047], [0057], [0069] and [0070]) discloses a <u>biodegradable (i.e. bioabsorbable) stent</u>, comprising
  - an inner biodegradable polymeric core (composed of bulk eroding or surface eroding material), coated with
  - (ii) a biodegradable polymeric material, preferably a hydrophobic surface eroding material (-which will erode more slowly than the bulk eroding material-), which acts as a diffusion barrier that prevents body fluids from contacting the core material, thereby controlling the rate at which the core becomes more flexible (i.e. degrades and loses mechanical stability).

D2 also teaches that the thickness of the coating material can be selected to extend or shorten (i.e. to control) the erosion of the material and hence to extend or shorten

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2006/025937

the time period prior penetration of body fluids into the core. D2 further teaches the possible incorporation of a drug into the core or the coating materials.

Thus, D2 also destroys the novelty of the subject matter of present claims 1-3 and 26-29.

- 7.3 D3 (see e.g. claims 1, 3, 7-11 in conjunction with §[0028], [0031], [0032] and [0066]-[0071]) discloses a biodegradable (i.e. bioabsorbable) drug-loaded stent comprising
  - (i) a main stent body (substrate) formed of biodegradable polymer;
  - (ii) a layer of a biologically active substance provided on the surface of the stent body; and
  - (iii) a layer of a biodegradable polymer which completely covers the layer of active substance, said polymer layer comprising a water-soluble pore-forming substance dispersed therein.

D3 teaches that upon contact with body fluids, the water-soluble pore-forming substance elutes from the outer polymeric layer, thereby forming pores which permit contact of the body fluids with the underlying drug-layer, and the drug releases from the stent. By subsequent gradual degradation of the outer pore-forming layer, the active substance is eventually completely released from to the body. Furthermore, by adequately selecting the composition and molecular weight of the biodegradable polymer, the drug-release can be designed for controlled release periods e.g. of 30-60 days.

The teachings of  ${\bf D3}$  prejudice the novelty of the subject matter of present claims 4, 6 and 10.

### Inventive step

- Claims 1-32 do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT for the reasons set out below.
- 8.1 D1 and D2 (see points 7.1 and 7.2 above) teach bioabsorbable stents comprising an inner polymeric core (or substrate) and an outer polymeric coating, wherein the outer coating is said to exhibit a longer degradation rate than the core material thereby providing protection of the inner core (see D1) or to act as a diffusion barrier that

prevents body fluids from contacting the core material, thereby controlling the rate at which the core becomes more flexible (i.e. degrades and loses mechanical stability) (see D2). D1 and D2 also teach that the thickness of the outer coating can be varied to control the degradation time of the stent (see D1) or to control the penetration rate of body fluids into the core (see D2) [--which will invariably affect the degradation rate of te core--].

- 8.1, Therefore, D1 and D2 clearly teach the use of bioabsorbable polymeric stent coatings for retarding, and hence for controlling, the degradation of the underlying core material and hence for retarding/controlling the degradation of the whole coated stent. Due to said teachings, D1 and D2 represent the closest prior art for the claimed subject matter.
- 8.2 The subject matter of present claims 1-3 and 26-29 is already anticipated by the teachings of D1 and D2 (see points 7.1 and 7.2 above). Hence, <u>no inventive step</u> can be recognised for said subject matter.
- 8.3 The subject matter of present claims 4-25 and 30-32 essentially differs from that of D1-D2 in the physical form of bioabsorbable coating used to coat the bioabsorbable substrate, namely
  - (i) a pore-forming coating or a porous coating, in the case of claims 4-25, or
  - (ii) a coating having a degree of crystallinity controlled, in the case of claims 30-32.
- 8.4 So, starting from D1 and D2, as closest prior art, the <u>objective problem to be solved</u> by the subject matter of present <u>claims 4-25 and 30-32</u> can be regarded as to provide alternative bioabsorbable coating means for controlling the degradation rate of bioabsorbable stents.
- 8.5 The possible use of bioabsorbable polymeric coatings for altering the degradation of medical devices (e.g. stents) coated with such coatings is not only known from D1/D2 (see above) but also from D4.
  - Indeed, **D4** (see e.g. c.4, 1.5-29 in conjunction with c.9, 1. 23-35; c.9, 1.56 to c.10, 1.15 and c.14, 1. 29-33) discloses biocompatible biodegradable (i.e. bioabsorbable) polyhydroxy-alkanoate (PHA) polymer compounds, the degradation of which can be modified by different measures, e.g. by altering their chemical composition, their molecular weight, their

porosity (e.g. by means of hydrophilic pore-forming substances), etc. It further teaches the possible use of said polymeric compounds for coating medical devices in order to improve their biocompatibility, mechanical properties and for tailoring their degradation or controlled release profiles.

- 8.6 In view of the aforementioned knowledge, those skilled in the art would readily recognise that any bioabsorbable polymeric coating suitable for application onto a bioabsorbable stent substrate, and having a prolonged biodegradation or bioerosion rate, would be suited for retarding, and hence for controlling, the degradation of the underlying substrate, and consequently for retarding or controlling the degradation of the coated stent as a whole.
- 8.7 As indicated above (see point 7.3), D3 teaches the use of bioabsorbable pore-forming polymer coatings for retarding or prolonging the release of active drugs from a drugloaded stent, in which the drug is positioned as a layer overlaying the stent body but underlying the pore-forming coating.
- 8.8 Similarly, **D5** (see e.g. claims 1, 3-5 and 19-23 in conjunction with c.3, L15-16 and L27-34; as well as c.7, L5-15, and L27-61) teaches a drug-loaded stent comprising
  - (i) an expandable body stent;
  - (ii) a therapeutic substance provided as a layer on the surface of the stent body;
     and
  - (iii) a porous biodegradable polymer coating overlying the therapeutic substance layer, said porous layer being formed either by spraying of the polymer or by phase inversion precipitation;

D5 further indicates that the release rate of the drug from the stent is controlled by the porous coating which rather reduces than increases the drug elution rate, presumably due to its decreased susceptibility to cracking as the stent undergoes deformation during handling and implantation.

8.9 In view of the teachings of D3 and D5, in combination with those of D1-D2, optionally also with those of D4, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to use pore-forming or porous biodegradable polymer coatings with a reasonable expectation of success that they would solve the problem posed.

PCT/US2006/025937

Thus, no inventive step can be recognised for the subject matter of independent claims 4, 14 and 20 or their dependent claims 5-13, 15-19 and 21-25. [With regard to the dependent claims, it is to be noted that the use of biodegradable or bioerodible metallic stent cores or substrates, instead of biodegradable polymeric substrates, in biodegradable coated stents, would be an obvious measure for the skilled person, since said kind of stents are already known from the state of the art; see e.g. D6 (claims 1-6) or D7 (claims 1-3, and 6). It is further to be noted that the preparation or poreforming or porous coatings is well known to the skilled artisan; see e.g. D3 (claims 8-1), D4 (paragraph bridging cc.9-10) or D5 (claims 19-21 and c.7, L27-62)].

8.10 As acknowledged in the application (see p.28, l.3-4), it is known that the diffusion rate of a fluid through a polymer decreases as the degree of crystallinity increases; see e.g. D8 (c.8, l.50-56). It s also known that the degradation of a polymer (including bioabsorbable polymers) can be retarded by increasing its crystallinity; see e.g. D8 (c.3, l.39-48 and c.7, l.51 to c.8, l.61) or D9 (paragraph bridging cc.2-3). Combining said knowledge with the teachings of D1 and/or D2, and optionally with those of D6 and/or D7 (see point 8.9 above), no inventive step can be recognised for the subject matter of present claims 30-32.

### Industrial applicability:

- Claims 1-9, 11-14 and 16-32 satisfy the criterion set forth in Art. 33(4) PCT because their subject matter is susceptible of industrial application.
- 9.1 As far as claims 10 and 15 relate to the "formation of pores" in vivo, (--which can be considered as a method of treatment of the human or animal body--), no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States For the assessment of said claims on the question of whether they are industrially applicable. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

### Re Item VIII

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2006/025937

### Certain observations on the international application

- 10. The independent claims 26 and 30 do not meet the requirements of Art. 5 and 6 PCT, because the claimed subject matter, namely, the bioabsorbable coating region (--assumedly a polymeric coating--) to be applied to the bioabsorbable substrate is merely defined by the results to be achieved; i.e. as "being configured to reduce, inhibit or delay the erosion of the substrate and by further controlling a thickness (see claim 26) or a degree of crystallinity (see claim 30) of the coating to allow a specified amount of erosion of the substrate during a selected period of time".
  Said functional definition of the bioabsorbable coating to allow.
  - Said functional definition of the bioabsorbable coating region solely refers to technical effects to be achieved and in no way provide information as to the technical features required for achieving the desired effects. Said functional definitions hence puts undue burden on the skilled person seeking to establish the scope of the claims and willing to carry out the invention over the whole claimed field.